arXiv:1401.6688v1 [math.AP] 26 Jan 2014

An explicit formula for the nonstationary diffracted wave scattered on a NN-wedge

Anel Esquivel Navarrete, Anatoli Merzon

January 28, 2014

Abstract

We consider two dimensional nonstationary scattering of plane waves by a NN-wedge. We prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the corresponding mixed problem and we give an explicit formula for the solution. Also the Limiting Amplitude Principle is proved and a rate of convergence to the limiting amplitude is obtained.

1 Introduction

In this paper we justify an exact formula for the cylindrical wave diffracted by the edge of a wedge when a nonstationary plane wave (see (1)) impinges on an arbitrary two dimensional wedge. This cylindrical wave (more exactly its amplitude) arised for the first time in the paper by Sommerfeld [1] where stationary diffraction of a plane wave by a half plane was studied. While many papers are devoted to stationary scattering by a wedge (see [2]-[10]), the nonstationary diffraction is studied considerably less. The diffraction of nonstationary incident Heaviside type waves was considered in [11]- [19]. A systematic mathematical analysis of the scattering of nonstationary plane harmonic waves in the cases of DD and DN problems was undertaken in [20]-[22]. In this paper we analyze the NN-scattering, we prove the Limiting Amplitude Principle and we find the rate of convergence to the Limiting Amplitude. Also we compare our results with the corresponding results of [23] where the case of a wedge with the magnitude of 2π (half plane) is considered.

Let

$$u_{in}(y,t) := e^{i(\mathbf{k_0}\cdot y-\omega_0 t)} f(t-\mathbf{n_0}\cdot y), \qquad y\in\mathbb{R}^2,\ t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{n_0}=(\cos\alpha,\sin\alpha)\in\mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbf{k_0}=\omega_0\mathbf{n_0}\in\mathbb{R}^2$, $\omega_0>0$. Here f is a profile function of the wave that is assumed belonging to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and for some $0<s_0<1$ it has the form

$$f(s)=\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s\le 0,\\ 1, & \text{if } s\ge s_0.\end{cases} \tag{2}$$

We consider two-dimensional plane wave scattering scattering by the wedge

$$W:=\left\{y=(y_1,y_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2 : y_1=\rho\cos\theta, y_2=\rho\sin\theta, \rho\ge 0, 0\le\theta\le\phi\right\}$$

of the magnitude $\phi \in (0, \pi)$ (see Figure 1). In Section 7 we also consider the Heaviside function ($s_0 = 0$) by taking the limit $s_0 \to 0$.

We suppose that the wedge is of the NN-type, that means that the corresponding boundary conditions are of the Neumann type on both sides. The exact solution of the scattering problems for the wedges of DD-type (both boundary conditions are of the Dirichlet type) and DN-type (one boundary condition is of the Dirichlet type and the other is of the Neumann type) have been obtained in [20]-[22]; also the Limiting Amplitude Principle for these problems was proved in both cases. The exact solutions are



Figure 1. The impinging plane wave

decomposed into the sum of the impinging wave u_{in}, a reflected wave u_r and a wave diffracted by the edge of the wedge u_d (see (77) in [21] and Lemma 15.1 in [22]), (see Figure 2).

In Figure 2 we present schematically the diffracted, reflected and incident waves. The straight line to the right, which intersects the angle, is the front of the incident wave at time $t > 0$. The segments of the straight lines connecting the sides of the angle with the directions $\theta = \theta_{1,2}$ are fronts of the waves reflected by the sides according to the geometrical optic. The circles are fronts of the wave diffracted by the vertex. This latter wave has discontinuities on the lines $\theta = \theta_{1,2}$ which are compensated precisely by the reflected waves so that the total field is continuous.

The reflected wave u_r is the result of the optical reflections and it is expressed explicitly from the boundary conditions (see formulas (9) and (1.9) in [21] and [22], respectively). Thus, the greatest interest and difficulty presents the wave u_d difracted by the edge of the wedge. The exact formulas for u_d for the DD and DN scattering problems were obtained in [21] and [22]. The derivation was based on the representation of $u_d(y,t)$ as the inverse Fourier transform $F^{-1}_{\omega\to t}$ of the "stationary" wave $\widehat{u}_d(y,\omega)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$. This "stationary" wave is a "diffracted" part of the solution to the corresponding stationary problem with a parameter $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$ which appears after the Fourier-Laplace transform of the non-stationary problem, that is

$$u_d(y,t) \;=\; F^{-1}_{\omega\to t}\big[\widehat{u}_d(y,\omega)\big], \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus W,\ t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3}$$

In [21], formulas (76), (41) and in [22] formulas (12.13) and (12.5) a representation for (3) has been obtained

$$\widehat{u}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega) \;=\; \frac{i}{4\Phi}\,\widehat{g}(\omega)\int\limits_{\mathcal{C}_0} e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}H(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta, \qquad \omega\in\mathbb{C}^+, \tag{4}$$

(we use the Fourier transform in the form

$$F_{t\to\omega}[u(t)]=\widehat{u}(\omega):=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega t}u(t)\;\mathrm{d}t\;\Bigg). \tag{5}$$

Here $\widehat{g}(\omega):=\widehat{f}(\omega-\omega_0)$, $\omega\in\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$, is the Fourier-Laplace transform or the Complex Fourier transform of the function $e^{-i\omega_0 t}f(t)$ (see Lemma 4.3, i)) and

$$H(\beta,\Phi):=\coth\left[q\left(\beta+i\;\frac{\pi}{2}-i\alpha\right)\right]-\coth\left[q\left(\beta-i\;\frac{3\pi}{2}+i\alpha\right)\right], \tag{6}$$

for the DD-wedge and

$$H(\beta,\Phi) \;:=\; \frac{1}{\sinh\left[q\left(\beta+i\;\frac{\pi}{2}-i\alpha\right)\right]}\;+\;\frac{1}{\sinh\left[q\left(\beta-i\;\frac{3\pi}{2}+i\alpha\right)\right]}, \quad \beta\in\mathbb{C}, \tag{7}$$

for the DN-wedge; $q:=\dfrac{\pi}{2\Phi}$, $\Phi=2\pi-\phi$, $\pi<\Phi\le 2\pi$ and $\mathcal{C}_0$ is the counter-clockwise directed contour

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \;:=\; \gamma_1\cup\gamma_2 \tag{8}$$

with $\gamma_1:=\mathbb{R}-i\frac{\pi}{2}$, and $\gamma_2:=\mathbb{R}-i\frac{5\pi}{2}$, (see Figure 3 corresponding to the case Re $\omega>0$). Also the regions where the function $e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}$ decays are hatched in Figure 3. Note that in (4) $\theta\neq\theta_1,\theta_2$ where

$$\theta_1:=2\phi-\alpha, \qquad \theta_2:=2\pi-\alpha, \tag{9}$$

since the function H has poles in $\beta=0$ for these critical values and the integral in (4) does not converge. On the critical rays $l_k:=\{(\rho\cos\theta_k,\rho\sin\theta_k)\in\mathbb{R}^2:\rho>0\}$, $k=1,2$, functions u_d and u_r are discontinuous but their sum, u is continuous. In [21], [22], the calculation of the inverse Fourier-Laplace transform (3) has been done and the following representation for the diffracted waves in the cases of DD and DN problems were obtained in [21], (90) and in [22], (15.1):

$$u_d(\rho,\theta,t) \;=\; \frac{ie^{-i\omega_0 t}}{4\Phi}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\rho\omega_0\cosh\beta}Z(\beta,\theta)f(t-\rho\cosh\beta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{10}$$

Here the function Z is a combination of H, (see formulas (88) and (15.10) in [21] and [22], respectively).



Figure 3. Contour $\mathcal{C}_0$.

A crucial role in these representations plays the fact that the integrals in (4) converge absolutely for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ since the integrand H in (6) and (7) decreases exponentially. In the case of a NN-wedge (see Remark 2.5 in [21]) the corresponding integrand takes the form

$$H_N(\beta, \Phi) := \coth\left[q\left(\beta + i\,\frac{\pi}{2} - i\alpha\right)\right] + \coth\left[q\left(\beta - i\,\frac{3\pi}{2} + i\alpha\right)\right], \qquad \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (11)$$

thus the integral (4) does not converge absolutely for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ (it converges conditionally). We find an asymptotic of the convergence to the limiting amplitude and compare our results with [23] for scattering on a half plane. So the proof of (10) is not obtained by an application of the method [21]-[22] directly. We apply some trick in this case to obtain (10), (see Lemma 4.2). We will use this representation to prove that the solution of the nonstationary scattering problem belongs to a functional space securing the uniqueness and that the Limiting Amplitude Principle holds. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we formulate the problem for nonstationary plane wave scattering on a NN-wedge. In section 3 we give a solution to the stationary NN-problem with a complex parameter. In sections 4 and 5 we prove an explicit representation for the diffracted wave

with a smooth profile function and in section 6 we give a complete solution to the scattering problem and prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution. In sections 7 and 8 we prove the Limiting Amplitude Principle and we obtain a rate of convergence to the limiting amplitude. Finally, in section 9 we consider the case of half plane and compare our results with the results of [23] when the impinging wave profile is the Heaviside function.

2 The statement of the problem

Let us proceed to the exact formulation. We denote by $Q := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus W$ the complement angle of magnitude

$$\Phi := 2\pi - \phi, \qquad \Phi \in (\pi, 2\pi] \tag{12}$$

and $\partial Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2$, where $Q_1 := \{(y_1, 0) : y_1 > 0\}$ and $Q_2 := \{(\rho\cos\phi, \rho\sin\phi) : \rho > 0\}$. The front of the wave $u_{in}(y,t)$ is the line $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t - \mathbf{n_0} \cdot y = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ at the moment t. To the right of this line namely, for $\mathbf{n_0} \cdot y > t$, $u_{in}(y,t) = 0$ by (1) and (2). We impose the following conditions on the vector $\mathbf{n_0}$. Suppose that for $t \le 0$, the front of $u_{in}(y,t)$ is disjoint from $W \setminus \{0\}$. This is equivalent to the condition $\phi - \frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Moreover, suppose that the incident wave is reflected by both sides of the wedge. This is equivalent to the condition $0 < \alpha < \phi$. Therefore, these two conditions on the vector $\mathbf{n_0}$ are expressed by the inequalities

$$\max\left\{\phi - \frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right\} < \alpha < \min\left\{\frac{\pi}{2}, \phi\right\}. \tag{13}$$

If some of these conditions are not satisfied, then the statement of the problem is slightly more complicated technically, but not fundamentally. All the final formulas for the solution will be valid for arbitrary α, see Remark 6.3. To avoid these technical complications, we assume below that (13) holds (see Figure 1). The scattering of the incident wave u_{in} by the NN-wedge W is described by means of the following initial boundary value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Box u(y,t,\Phi) = 0, & y \in Q \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} u(y,t,\Phi) = 0, & y \in \partial Q \end{array} \right| \, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{14}$$

where $\Box := \partial_t^2 - \Delta$. Here $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}}$ means the normal exterior derivative. We include the incident wave u_{in} in the statement of the problem through the initial condition

$$u(y,t) = u_{in}(y,t), \qquad y \in Q, \qquad t < 0. \tag{15}$$

It is possible since $u_{in}(y,t)$ is a solution to problem (14) for $t < 0$. The Neumann conditions in (14) hold for $t < 0$ since u_{in} is identically zero in a neighborhood of ∂Q. Let us denote the scattered wave by $u_s(y,t) := u(y,t) - u_{in}(y,t)$. Obviously u_s satisfies the following mixed problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcll} \Box u_s(y,t) & = & 0, & y \in Q,\ t > 0 \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} u_s(y,t) & = & -\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} u_{in}(y,t), & y \in \partial Q,\ t > 0 \\ u_s(y,0) & = & \dot{u}_s(y,0) \ = \ 0, & y \in Q. \end{array} \right. \tag{16}$$

Define the reflected wave $u_r(y,t)$ as

$$u_r(\rho,\theta,t) := \begin{cases} u_{r,1}(\rho,\theta,t), & \phi \le \theta \le \theta_1 \\ 0, & \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2 \\ u_{r,2}(\rho,\theta,t), & \theta_2 \le \theta \le 2\pi \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

where $y = \rho e^{i\theta}$, θ_1, θ_2 are given by (9) and $u_{r,1}$, $u_{r,2}$ are the plane waves reflected by Q_1 and Q_2, respectively and they are defined as $u_{r,1}(\rho,\theta,t) = e^{i(\mathbf{k_1}\cdot y-\omega_0 t)} f(t - \mathbf{v_1}\cdot y)$, $u_{r,2}(\rho,\theta,t) = e^{i(\mathbf{k_2}\cdot y-\omega_0 t)} f(t - \mathbf{v_2}\cdot y)$. Here $\mathbf{k_1} = \omega_0 \mathbf{v_1}$, $\mathbf{v_1} = (\cos\theta_1, \sin\theta_1)$ and $\mathbf{k_2} = \omega_0 \mathbf{v_2}$, $\mathbf{v_2} = (\cos\theta_2, \sin\theta_2)$. In the present paper we prove the existence of a solution to the nonstationary problem (16) in a functional space $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,N}$. We will prove that this solution (the scattered wave u_s) is represented as a sum of the reflected wave u_r (17) and the diffracted wave u_d (see Section 3). We find the Sommerfeld-Malyuzhinets type representation for the diffracted wave and we prove that it has the form (10) with

$$Z(\beta,\Phi) = Z_N(\beta,\Phi) \;:=\; H_N\left(\beta - i\frac{5\pi}{2}, \Phi\right) - H_N\left(\beta - i\frac{\pi}{2}, \Phi\right), \qquad \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{18}$$

where H_N is given by (11). We prove that this formula coincides with (14) and (15) in [23] for $\Phi = 2\pi$. Using this formula we determine the values of parameters ε and N in the space $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,N}$. Moreover, we prove the Limiting Amplitude Principle and find the rate of convergence to the Limiting Amplitude.

3 Solution to the "stationary" NN-problem with a parameter

First we consider the case $\pi < \Phi < 2\pi$. For convenience, we omit the variable Φ in the notation of H, H_N, Z, Z_N, u_d and u_r.

After the Fourier-Laplace transform $t \to \omega$ in problem (16), we come to the following "stationary" NN-problem

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta + \omega^2)\, \widehat{u}_s(y,\omega) &=& 0, & y \in Q \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y_2}\widehat{u}_s(y,\omega) &=& -i\omega\widehat{g}(\omega)\sin\alpha\; e^{i\omega y_1\cos\alpha}, & y \in Q_1 \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n_2}}\widehat{u}_s(y,\omega) &=& i\omega\widehat{g}(\omega)\sin(\Phi+\alpha) e^{-i\omega y_2 \frac{\cos(\Phi+\alpha)}{\sin\Phi}}, & y \in Q_2 \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

with a parameter $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im}\; z > 0\}$. This is done similarly to (31) in [21] and (3.7) in [22]. The solution of this problem admits the following representation in the polar coordinates

$$\widehat{u}_s(\rho,\theta,\omega) \;:=\; -\widehat{g}(\omega) e^{i\rho\omega\cos(\theta-\alpha)} + \frac{i\widehat{g}(\omega)}{4\Phi}\int\limits_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta} H_N(\beta + i\theta)\, \mathrm{d}\beta, \quad (\rho,\theta) \in Q, \tag{20}$$

where H_N is function (11) and $\mathcal{C}$ is the Sommerfeld-type contour

$$\mathcal{C} \;=\; \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2. \tag{21}$$

Here

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \left\{\beta_1 - i\frac{\pi}{2} : \beta_1 \geq 1\right\} \cup \left\{1 + i\beta_2 : -\frac{5\pi}{2} \leq \beta_2 \leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\right\} \cup \left\{\beta_1 - i\frac{5\pi}{2} : \beta_1 \geq 1\right\} \quad (22)$$

and $\mathcal{C}_2 := -\mathcal{C}_1 - 3i\pi$. We choose the counter-clockwise orientation for the contours $\mathcal{C}_{1,2}$, (see Figure 4). Obviously the integral in (20) converges absolutely since for any $\beta := \beta_1 + i\beta_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and for any $\omega := \omega_1 + i\omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^+$, $\mathrm{Re}(-\rho\omega \sinh\beta) = -\rho\omega_2 \cosh\beta_1$. Representation (20) may be obtained by the Method of the Complex Characteristics (see [24]-[25]). This method was used to obtain the similar formulas (150), (148) in [21] and (10.4), (14.2) in [22] for the DD and DN-wedges, respectively. Similarly to Corollary 5.2 in [21] it is proved that $u_s \in C^\infty(\overline{Q})$. We prove directly that (20) satisfies (19) in Appendix A1, Lemma 11.2, and $u_s \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(\overline{Q})$ in Section 6.



Figure 4. Contour $\mathcal{C}$.

Let us decompose the "stationary" solution $\widehat{u}_s$ into the reflected "stationary" wave $\widehat{u}_r$ and diffracted "stationary" wave $\widehat{u}_d$. Changing the contour $\mathcal{C}$ (21) by the contour $\mathcal{C}_0$ (8) and calculating residues we obtain that $\widehat{u}_s = \widehat{u}_r + \widehat{u}_d$, where

$$\widehat{u}_r(\rho,\theta,\omega) \;=\; \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \widehat{u}_{r,1}(\rho,\theta,\omega), & \phi \leq \theta \leq \theta_1 \\ 0, & \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2 \\ \widehat{u}_{r,2}(\rho,\theta,\omega), & \theta_2 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \end{array} \right| \; (\rho,\theta) \in Q,\ \theta \neq \theta_1, \theta_2,$$

with $\widehat{u}_{r,1}(\rho,\theta,\omega) = \widehat{g}(\omega)\ e^{i\omega\rho\cos(\theta-\theta_1)}$ and $\widehat{u}_{r,2}(\rho,\theta,\omega) = \widehat{g}(\omega)\ e^{i\omega\rho\cos(\theta-\theta_2)}$. Here the "critical" directions $\theta_{1,2}$ are given by (9) and $\widehat{u}_d$ is given by (4). It is not difficult to see that the inverse Fourier-Laplace transform of $\widehat{u}_r$ is the function u_r given by (17). Thus, the

solution $u_s(y,t)$ of the problem (16) is decomposed into $u_r(y,t)$ and $u_d(y,t)$ in the following form: $u_s(y,t) = u_r(y,t) + u_d(y,t)$, where $u_d(y,t) = F^{-1}_{\omega\to t}\big[\widehat{u}_d(y,\omega)\big]$. It remains only to prove that the function $u_d(y,t)$ admits the representation (10) and to verify that the function Z_N given in (18) is an appropriate one for the diffracted wave. To do this we need first the continuation of the function $\widehat{u}_d(\cdot,\cdot,\omega)$ to $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$.

4 Extension of diffracted wave density function

Definition 4.1. *Let*

$$\Theta := [\phi, 2\pi] \setminus \{\theta_1, \theta_2\}. \tag{23}$$

where θ_1, θ_2 are defined in (9). For any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$, $(\rho,\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Theta$ let us define

$$\mathcal{J}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega) := \int\limits_{\mathcal{C}_0} e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta} H_N(\beta + i\theta)\ \mathrm{d}\beta, \tag{24}$$

with H_N defined by (11).

First we extend $\mathcal{J}_d(\cdot,\cdot,t)$ to $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$. We will denote by $\mathrm{H}(\Omega)$, the space of the holomorphic functions in the region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. Everywhere below we will assume that $(\rho,\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Theta$, $\omega = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$ and $\beta = \beta_1 + i\beta_2$.

Lemma 4.2. *i) The integral in (24) converges absolutely for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$, and determines an analytic function in $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$:*

$$\mathcal{J}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega) \in \mathrm{H}(\mathbb{C}^+), \qquad (\rho,\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Theta. \tag{25}$$

ii) The function $\mathcal{J}_d(\cdot,\cdot,\omega)$ is represented as

$$\mathcal{J}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega) \ = \ \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\rho\omega\cosh\beta} Z_N(\beta + i\theta)\ \mathrm{d}\beta, \qquad \omega \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+} \tag{26}$$

where Z_N is given by (18) and it admits a continuous extension to $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$.

iii) $\mathcal{J}_d(\cdot,\cdot,\omega) \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and the following estimate holds

$$|\mathcal{J}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega)| \ \leq \ C(\theta), \qquad \omega \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}. \tag{27}$$

iv) The following limit holds

$$\mathcal{J}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega_1) = \lim_{\omega_2\to 0} \mathcal{J}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega_1 + i\omega_2) \tag{28}$$

in the sense of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_{\omega_1})$.

Proof. **i)** From (11) it follows that for $\theta \in [\phi, 2\pi]$ the set $P(\theta)$ of poles of the function $H_N(\beta + i\theta)$ is given by

$$P(\theta) = P_1(\theta) \cup \Big[-P_1(\theta) + i\pi - 2i\theta \Big] \tag{29}$$

where $P_1(\theta) = \left\{-i\frac{\pi}{2} + i\alpha + 2ik\Phi - i\theta : k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. This implies that for $\theta \in [\phi, 2\pi]$: $H_N(\beta + i\theta) \in \mathrm{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus P(\theta))$, $-i\frac{\pi}{2} \in P(\theta)$ only for $\theta = \theta_2$ and $-i\frac{5\pi}{2} \in P(\theta)$ only for $\theta = \theta_1$. Hence $H_N(\beta + i\theta)$ admits the following bound

$$|H_N(\beta + i\theta)| \le C(\theta), \qquad \beta \in \mathcal{C}_0,\ \theta \neq \theta_1, \theta_2. \tag{30}$$

Therefore the integral (24) converges absolutely for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$, since

$$|e^{-\rho\omega \sinh\beta}| \ = \ e^{-\rho\omega_2 \cosh\beta_1}, \qquad \beta = \beta_1 + i\beta_2 \in \mathcal{C}_0. \tag{31}$$

To prove statement (25) it suffices to check that for any $\delta > 0$ and for any $\theta \neq \theta_1, \theta_2$, the integral $\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}\Big[e^{-\rho\omega \sinh\beta}\Big] \cdot H_N(\beta + i\theta)\ \mathrm{d}\beta$ converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$, $\mathrm{Im}\ \omega \ge \delta > 0$. It follows from estimate (30) and from (31).
ii) Making the change of variable $\beta \mapsto \beta + i\frac{\pi}{2}$ for $\beta \in \gamma_1$ and $\beta \mapsto \beta + i\frac{5\pi}{2}$ for $\beta \in \gamma_2$ we reduce the integral (24) to the integral (26). This representation (in contrast to (24)) admits a continuation to $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ since the integrand Z_N (in contrast to H_N) admits the estimate

$$|Z_N(\beta + i\theta)| \ \le \ C(\theta)e^{-2q|\beta|}, \qquad \beta \in \mathbb{R},\ \theta \neq \theta_1, \theta_2, \tag{32}$$

by (18). Hence the integral (26) remains convergent for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us prove that $\mathcal{J}_d(\cdot, \cdot, \omega)$ is continuous in $\omega \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$. If $\omega_k \to \overline{\omega}$ when $k \to +\infty$, $\omega_k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$, then $\lim\limits_{k\to+\infty} e^{i\rho\omega_k \cosh\beta}\, Z_N(\beta + i\theta) = e^{i\rho\overline{\omega} \cosh\beta} Z_N(\beta + i\theta)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|e^{i\rho\omega_k \cosh\beta}\, Z_N(\beta + i\theta)| \le C(\theta)\, e^{-2q|\beta|}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, because of $\omega_k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ and (32). Therefore by the Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \mathcal{J}_d(\cdot, \cdot, \omega_k) = \mathcal{J}_d(\cdot, \cdot, \overline{\omega}). \tag{33}$$

It means that $\mathcal{J}_d(\cdot, \cdot, \omega) \in \mathrm{C}\left(\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}\right)$.
iii) Estimate (27) follows from (26), (32), the fact that $\left|e^{i\rho(\omega_1 + i\omega_2)\cosh\beta}\right| = e^{-\rho\omega_2 \cosh\beta}$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega_2 \ge 0$.
iv) Statement (28) follows from (33) and (27). □

We proceed to the extension of $\widehat{u}_d(\cdot, \cdot, \omega)$ to $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$. Let

$$\widehat{g}(\omega_1) \ := \ F_{s\to\omega_1}\Big[e^{-i\omega_0 s} f(s)\Big], \qquad \omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{34}$$

where f is given by (2), $F_{s\to\omega_1}[\cdot]$ denotes the Fourier transform in the sense of $\mathcal{S}'$ associated to the classical Fourier transform (5), and

$$\widehat{g}_1(\omega_1) \ := \ i\ \widehat{f}'(\omega_1 - \omega_0), \qquad \omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{35}$$

Obviously

$$g_1(s) = ie^{-i\omega_0 s} f'(s), \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{36}$$

The following lemma is proved in Appendix A2.

Lemma 4.3. *i) $\widehat{g}(\omega_1)$ admits an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}^+$ that is there exists the limit*

$$\widehat{g}(\omega_1) = \lim_{\omega_2 \to 0+} \widehat{g}(\omega_1 + i\omega_2) \tag{37}$$

in the sense of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. (We denote this analytic continuation also by $\widehat{g}(\omega)$, $\omega \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ and we say that it is the Fourier-Laplace transform of $e^{-i\omega_0 s} f(s)$*). Moreover there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\widehat{g}(\omega)\right| \leq C(\text{Im } \omega)^{-1}, \qquad \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+. \tag{38}$$

ii) $\widehat{g}_1(\omega_1)$ admits an analytic continuation $\widehat{g}_1(\omega)$ to $\mathbb{C}$, that is

$$\widehat{g}_1(\omega) \in \mathrm{H}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{39}$$

and for any $\omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\widehat{g}_1(\omega_1 + i\omega_2) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_{\omega_1}), \qquad \left|\frac{\partial^{(k)}}{\partial \omega^k} \widehat{g}_1(\omega_1 + i\omega_2)\right| \leq C_{k,N}(1 + |\omega_1|)^{-N}. \tag{40}$$

Moreover,

$$\widehat{g}(\omega) = \frac{\widehat{g}_1(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_0},\ \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+; \qquad \widehat{g}(\omega_1) = \frac{\widehat{g}_1(\omega_1)}{\omega_1 - \omega_0 + i0},\ \omega_1 \in \mathbb{R} \tag{41}$$

and

$$\widehat{g}(\omega) \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\omega_0\}). \tag{42}$$

Now we are able to extend $\widehat{u}_d(\cdot, \cdot, \omega)$ to $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$.

Proposition 4.4. *The function $\widehat{u}_d(\rho, \theta, \omega)$ posseses the following properties*

i) $\widehat{u}_d(\cdot, \cdot, \omega) \in \mathrm{H}(\mathbb{C}^+)$

ii) The estimate

$$|\widehat{u}_d(\rho, \theta, \omega)| \ \leq \ C(\theta)\omega_2^{-1}, \qquad \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+, \tag{43}$$

holds.

iii) For any $\omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists the limit in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_{\omega_1})$:

$$\widehat{u}_d(\cdot, \cdot, \omega_1) \ := \ \lim_{\omega_2 \to 0+} \widehat{u}_d(\cdot, \cdot, \omega_1 + i\omega_2), \qquad \omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{44}$$

Proof. From (4), (24) and (41) we infer that

$$\widehat{u}_d(\rho, \theta, \omega) \ = \ \frac{i}{4\Phi} \cdot \frac{\widehat{g}_1(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_0} \mathcal{J}_d(\rho, \theta, \omega), \qquad \omega \in \mathbb{C}^+. \tag{45}$$

Hence, the statement i) follows from Lemma 4.3 i) and (25), the estimate (43) follows from (40) and (27). The existence of the limit (44) follows from (37) and (28). □

5 Diffracted wave representation

In this section we apply the inverse Fourier-Laplace transform to the function $\widehat{u}_d(\cdot,\omega)$, $\omega\in\mathbb{C}^+$. First, we make it for the auxiliary function

$$\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega) \;:=\; \widehat{g}_1(\omega)\mathcal{J}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega), \qquad \omega\in\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}, \tag{46}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_d$ is given by (26) and $\widehat{g}_1(\omega)$ is given by (35).

5.1 Inverse Fourier-Laplace transform of function $\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d(\rho,\theta,t)$

Proposition 5.1. *Let f be a smooth function satisfying (2). Then*

i) There exists the inverse Fourier-Laplace transform of the function $\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d(\cdot,\cdot,\omega)$, $\omega\in\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$, $F^{-1}_{\omega\to t}\big[\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d(\cdot,\cdot,\omega)\big](t)$, which is expressed in the following way

$$\mathrm{w}_d(\rho,\theta,t)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega_1 t}\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega_1)\;\mathrm{d}\omega_1. \tag{47}$$

ii) The function $\mathrm{w}_d(\rho,\theta,t)$ admits also the following representation

$$\mathrm{w}_d(\rho,\theta,t) \;=\; ie^{-i\omega_0 t}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\rho\omega_0\cosh\beta}Z_N(\beta+i\theta)f'(t-\rho\cosh\beta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta \tag{48}$$

and

$$\mathrm{w}_d(\cdot,\cdot,t)\in\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R}), \qquad \mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{w}_d(\cdot,\cdot,t))\subset\overline{\mathbb{R}^+}. \tag{49}$$

Proof. **i)** The first statement follows from the Paley-Wiener type Theorem for cones (Theorem I.5.2 in [26]) since $\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d(\cdot,\cdot,\omega)\in\mathrm{H}(\mathbb{C}^+)$ by (39) and it is bounded in $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ by the second inequality in (40) and (27). The representation (47) follows from the fact that $\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d(\cdot,\cdot,\omega_1)$, $\omega_1\in\mathbb{R}$ is the $\mathcal{S}'$-limit of the function $\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d(\cdot,\cdot,\omega_1+i\omega_2)$, when $\omega_2\to 0+$ by (39), (40) and (27), (28).
ii) Substituting expression (26) in (46), and then plugging the result for $\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d$ into the integral (47), we obtain

$$\mathrm{w}_d(\rho,\theta,t)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega_1 t}g_1(\omega_1)\left[\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\omega_1\rho\cosh\beta}Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta\right]\mathrm{d}\omega_1.$$

By (40) and (32) we have $\left|e^{i\omega_1(-t+\rho\cosh\beta)}g_1(\omega_1)Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\right|\le C_N(\theta)(1+|\omega_1|)^{-N}e^{-2q|\beta|}$, $(\omega_1,\beta)\in\mathbb{R}^2$. Hence, by the Fubini Theorem, the definition of the Fourier transform (5) and by the Inverse Fourier Transform Theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{w}_d(\rho,\theta,t) \;&=\; \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega_1(t-\rho\cosh\beta)}g_1(\omega_1)\;\mathrm{d}\omega_1\right]Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta\\ &=\; \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} g_1(t-\rho\cosh\beta)Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Now we obtain (48) from (36). The continuity of w_d follows from (48), (32), (2) and from the Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem. The inclusion in (49) follows directly from (48) and (2) since $\mathrm{supp}f'\subset[0,s_0]$ and $\rho\ge 0$. □

5.2 Proof of the diffracted wave representation

We prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 5.2. *The diffracted wave $u_d(\rho,\theta,t)$ for the non-stationary scattering NN-problem (16) on the wedge W admits the following representation:*

$$u_d(\rho,\theta,t) \;=\; \frac{ie^{-i\omega_0 t}}{4\Phi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta} Z_N(\beta+i\theta) f(t-\rho\cosh\beta)\ \mathrm{d}\beta, \qquad t\in\mathbb{R}, \quad (50)$$

where Z_N and f are defined by (18) and (2), respectively.

Proof. From (45), (41) and (46) we infer that $u_d(\rho,\theta,t) = F^{-1}_{\omega\to t}\left[\dfrac{i}{4\Phi(\omega_1-\omega_0)}\widehat{\mathrm{w}}_d(\rho,\theta,\omega)\right]$, $\omega\in\mathbb{C}^+$, where $F^{-1}_{\omega\to t}$ is the inverse Fourier-Laplace transform in the sense of $\mathcal{S}'(\overline{\mathbb{R}^+})$. Since for the Fourier-Laplace transform $F_{t\to\omega}\Big[f(t)*g(t)\Big] = \widehat{f}(\omega)\widehat{g}(\omega)$, $\omega\in\mathbb{C}^+$, for $f,g\in\mathcal{S}'(\overline{\mathbb{R}^+})$ and $F_{t\to\omega}\Big[\Theta(t)e^{-i\omega_0 t}\Big] = -\dfrac{1}{i(\omega-\omega_0)}$, $\omega\in\mathbb{C}^+$, we obtain $u_d(\rho,\theta,t) = \dfrac{1}{4\Phi}\Big[\Theta(t)e^{-i\omega_0 t} * \mathrm{w}_d(\rho,\theta,t)\Big]$. The convolution in the last integral exists in the usual sense by (49), hence $u_d(\rho,\theta,t) = \dfrac{1}{4\Phi}\displaystyle\int\limits_0^t e^{-i\omega_0(t-s)}\mathrm{w}_d(\rho,\theta,s)\ \mathrm{d}s$. Replacing here w_d by its expression (48) we have

$$u_d(\rho,\theta,t) = \frac{ie^{-i\omega_0 t}}{4\Phi}\int\limits_0^t\left[\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\rho\omega_0\cosh\beta} Z_N(\beta+i\theta) f'(s-\rho\cosh\beta)\ \mathrm{d}\beta\right]\mathrm{d}s. \quad (51)$$

The function $\Theta(t-s)e^{i\rho\omega_0\cosh\beta}Z_N(\beta+i\theta)f'(s-\rho\cosh\beta)$ has compact support in $\mathbb{R}^2$, with respect to (β,s) by (2). This implies that this function is integrable. Using the Fubini Theorem we change the order of integration in (51) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_d(\rho,\theta,t) \;&=\; \frac{ie^{-i\omega_0 t}}{4\Phi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\rho\omega_0\cosh\beta} Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\left[\int\limits_0^t f'(s-\rho\cosh\beta)\ \mathrm{d}s\right]\mathrm{d}\beta \\ &=\; \frac{ie^{-i\omega_0 t}}{4\Phi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta} Z_N(\beta+i\theta) f(t-\rho\cosh\beta)\ \mathrm{d}\beta, \end{aligned}$$

by the Newton-Leibnitz Theorem. The theorem is proved. □

6 Total field for the NN-scattering problem

In this section we give a complete solution to the problem of plane periodic wave scattering by a NN-wedge with a smooth profile function. Let us define

$$u(y,t) = u_{in}(y,t) + u_r(y,t) + u_d(y,t), \qquad y\in Q,\ t\in\mathbb{R}, \quad (52)$$

where u_{in}, u_r and u_d are defined by (1), (17) and (50) respectively.

Define $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,N}$ as a space of the functions $u(y,t) \in C(\overline{Q \times \mathbb{R}^+})$ such that $\nabla u(y,t) \in C(\dot{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^+})$ and the norm

$$\|u\|_{\varepsilon,N} := \sup_{t\geq 0}\left[\sup_{y\in\overline{Q}}|u(y,t)| + \sup_{y\in\dot{Q}}(1+t)^{-N}\{y\}^{\varepsilon}\left|\nabla_y u(y,t)\right|\right] < \infty, \quad N \geq 0, \tag{53}$$

is finite. Here $\{y\} := \dfrac{|y|}{1+|y|}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\dot{Q} := \overline{Q} \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let the incident wave profile $f(s)$ be a smooth function satisfying (2). Then the function u is a classical solution to system (14), (15), belonging to the space $C^\infty(\dot{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^+}) \cap C(\overline{Q} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^+}) \cap \mathcal{E}_{1-2q,1-2q}$ and it is a unique solution in this space.*

Proof. First we prove directly (without using the inverse Fourier transform) that u satisfies the system. Obviously, u_{in} satisfies the D'Alembert equation in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by (1). The function u_r also satisfies the same equation in the classical sense but only in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Theta \times \mathbb{R}$ since it has discontinuities on the critical rays $l_{1,2}$. Moreover this function is a sectionally smooth one in $\overline{Q}_k$, $k = I, II, III$ where $Q_I := \mathbb{R}^+ \times (\phi, \theta_1) \times \mathbb{R}$, $Q_{II} := \mathbb{R}^+ \times (\theta_1, \theta_2) \times \mathbb{R}$, $Q_{III} := \mathbb{R}^+ \times (\theta_2, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{R}$. All these statement follow from (17). Finally, the function u_d also satisfies the D'Alembert equation in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Theta \times \mathbb{R}$. That can be verified by direct differentiation under the integral sign in (50) using the estimate (32) and the smoothness of Z_N ($\theta \neq \theta_{1,2}$) and f. Moreover it is also sectionally smooth function in $\overline{Q}_k \setminus \{0\}$. In fact, the smoothness of u_d in $Q_I \cup Q_1$, Q_{II} and $Q_{III} \cup Q_2$ (see (12)) follows from the uniform with respect to compact sets in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Theta \times \mathbb{R}$ convergence of the integral (50) after differentiation with respect to ρ, θ, t. Differentiability of u_d up to critical rays l_k, that is the existence of the limits of derivatives when $\theta \to \theta_k^{\pm}$, $k = 1, 2$ is proved in Appendix A3, Lemma 11.5. In the same lemma it is proved that the jumps of derivatives on the critical rays l_k are opposite to the jumps of u_r on l_k, so $u_s = u_d + u_r$ is a smooth function on l_k, that is $u \in C^\infty(\dot{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^+})$.

Let us check the Neumann boundary conditions for u. From (1) and (17) it follows that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(u_{in} + u_r)\Big|_{Q_{1,2}} = 0$. From the representation (50) for u_d it also follows that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\, u_d\Big|_{Q_{1,2}} = 0$, since the functions $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\, Z_N(\beta + i\phi)$ and $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\, Z_N(\beta + 2i\pi)$ are odd.

Finally we prove that $u \in \mathcal{E}_{1-2q,1-2q}$. We have already proved that $u \in C(\overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^\infty(\dot{\overline{Q}} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$, so we need only to check the estimate (53).

Since $u_{in} \in \mathcal{E}_{0,0}$ and u_r satisfies the estimate (53) with $\varepsilon = N = 1 - 2q$ by (17), it suffices only to check that the following estimates hold

$$|u_d(\rho,\theta,t)| \leq C, \qquad (\rho,\theta,t) \in \overline{Q \times \mathbb{R}^+}, \tag{54}$$

$$|\bigtriangledown u_d(\rho,\theta,t)| \leq C_\delta(1 + t^{1-2q})(1 + \rho^{-(1-2q)}), \qquad 0 < \rho < t. \tag{55}$$

Estimate (54) follows from (50), since Z_N satisfies the bound (32). The proof of the estimate (55) coincides with the proof of the estimates (91)and (118) for the DD-problem (see Lemma 12.1, Theorem 12.2 and Proposition 14.1 in [21]) since Z_N satisfies the estimate of type (33) in [21] by (32).

Finally the uniqueness of the solution u in the space $\mathcal{E}_{1-2q,1-2q}$ is proved in the same wave as the uniqueness for the DD-scattering (see Corollary 8.4 in [20]). The theorem is proved. □

Remark 6.2. *Let $s_0 = 0$ in (2) i.e.*

$$f(s) = \mathcal{H}(s), \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{56}$$

where $\mathcal{H}(s)$ is the Heaviside function. In this case, formula (50) for the diffracted wave takes the form

$$u_d(\rho,\theta,t) = \frac{ie^{-i\omega_0 t}}{4\Phi} \int\limits_{-\mathrm{ac}(\frac{t}{\rho})}^{\mathrm{ac}(\frac{t}{\rho})} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta} Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\ \mathrm{d}\beta, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$\mathrm{ac}(x) := \begin{cases} \ln(x+\sqrt{x^2-1}), & x \geq 1, \\ 0, & x < 1. \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

All the statements of Theorem 6.1 remains valid with the exception of the front continuities of u_{in}, u_r and u_d, which have jumps generated by the jump of f in 0.

Remark 6.3. *A solution for the NN-scattering problem (14), (15) is expressed by (52) with u_d given by (50) not only for the wedge of the magnitude $0 < \phi < \pi$ and α satisfying (13) but also for $\phi = 0$ and arbitrary α (in the case of $\phi = \pi$ the diffracted wave $u_d \equiv 0$). It is checked directly by substituting the function u into the system (14). Moreover, in any case the Theorem 6.1 holds.*

7 Limiting Amplitude Principle and the rate of convergence to the limiting amplitude

In this section we prove that the amplitude of the solution $u(\rho,\theta,t)$ to the nonsationary NN-scattering problem (14) converges to a limiting amplitude as $t \to \infty$. This limiting amplitude is a well known solution to the stationary diffracted problem (see for example [27]).

Definition 7.1. *Define the limiting amplitude for the incident, reflected and diffracted waves*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} A_{in}(\rho,\theta) & := & e^{i\omega_0\rho\cos(\theta-\alpha)} \\ A_r(\rho,\theta) & := & \begin{cases} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cos(\theta-\theta_1)}, & \phi \leq \theta < \theta_1 \\ 0, & \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2 \\ e^{i\omega_0\rho\cos(\theta-\theta_2)}, & \theta_2 < \theta \leq 2\pi \end{cases} \\ A_d(\rho,\theta) & := & \dfrac{i}{4\Phi}\displaystyle\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta} Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\ \mathrm{d}\beta \end{array} \right| \quad \rho > 0,\ \theta \in \Theta, \tag{58}$$

where Z_N is given by (18) and let

$$A_\infty(\rho,\theta) := A_{in}(\rho,\theta) + A_r(\rho,\theta) + A_d(\rho,\theta). \tag{59}$$

Introduce the contour

$$\mathcal{C}_1^+ \;:=\; \left[\mathcal{C}_1 + i\frac{\pi}{4}\right] \cup \left[-\mathcal{C}_1 - i\frac{13\pi}{4}\right], \tag{60}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1$ is contour (22). The orientation of $\mathcal{C}_1^+$ is showed in Figure 5, (cf (35) in [21]).



Figure 5. Contour $\mathcal{C}_1^+$.

Theorem 7.2. *Let f be a function satisfying (2) with $s_0 \geq 0$ and u be a solution (52) of system (14), (15). Then for $\theta \in \Theta$ (see (23)), there exists a limit of the amplitude $A(\rho, \theta, t) := u(\rho, \theta, t)e^{i\omega_0 t}$ of the solution u and*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \; A(\rho, \theta, t) = A_\infty(\rho, \theta), \tag{61}$$

where the limiting amplitude A_∞ admits the following representation

$$A_\infty(\rho, \theta) \;:=\; \int\limits_{\mathcal{C}_1^+} e^{-\omega_0 \rho \sinh\beta} H_N(\beta + i\theta)\, \mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{62}$$

Moreover, A_∞ satisfies the stationary scattering problem

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta - \omega_0^2)\; A_\infty(\rho, \theta) &=& 0, & (\rho, \theta) \in Q \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} A_\infty(\rho, \theta) &=& 0, & (\rho, \theta) \in \partial Q \end{cases}$$

Proof. From (1), (17) and (2) it follows that

$$u_{in}(y,t)e^{i\omega_0 t} \longrightarrow A_{in}(\rho,\theta), \qquad u_r(y,t)e^{i\omega_0 t} \longrightarrow A_r(\rho,\theta), \qquad t \to +\infty, \tag{63}$$

uniformly in $\rho \le \rho_0$, $\theta \in [\phi, 2\pi]$. In the following lemma we also prove this convergence for the diffracted wave.

Lemma 7.3. ***(Limiting Amplitude Principle for the diffracted wave)****. Let f be a profile function given by (2) with $s_0 \ge 0$. Then for any $\rho_0 > 0$ the following asymptotics hold $A_d(\rho,\theta,t) - A_d(\rho,\theta) \longrightarrow 0$, when $t \longrightarrow +\infty$, uniformly in $\rho \in [0,\rho_0]$ and $\theta \in \Theta$. Here $A_d(\rho,\theta,t) := u_d(\rho,\theta,t)e^{i\omega_0 t}$ and $A_d(\rho,\theta)$ is defined in (58).*

Proof. Representation (50) implies that

$$A_d(\rho,\theta,t) \;=\; \frac{i}{4\Phi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta} f(t-\rho\cosh\beta) Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{64}$$

It remains only to prove that

$$A_d(\rho,\theta,t) \longrightarrow A_d(\rho,\theta), \qquad t \longrightarrow +\infty \tag{65}$$

uniformly with respect to $\rho \in [0,\rho_0]$ and $\theta \in \Theta$. By (58) and (64)

$$A_d(\rho,\theta,t) - A_d(\rho,\theta) = \frac{i}{4\Phi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}\Big[f(t-\rho\cosh\beta)-1\Big] Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{66}$$

Let us fix $\rho_0 > 0$, $\theta \in [\phi, 2\pi]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the poles of $Z_N(\beta+i\theta)$ can be only in $\beta = 0$ by (18), there exists $C > 0$ such that $|Z_N(\beta+i\theta)| \le C$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\beta| \ge 1$, $\theta \in [\phi, 2\pi]$. Let us choose $\overline{\beta} > 1$ such that $\dfrac{8C\Phi e^{-\frac{\pi}{\Phi}|\bar\beta|}}{\pi} < \varepsilon$. Then by (18), (32) and (2)

$$\int\limits_{|\beta|\ge\bar\beta} \Big|e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}\Big[f(t-\rho\cosh\beta)-1\Big] Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\Big|\mathrm{d}\beta < 8C\int\limits_{\beta\ge\bar\beta} e^{-\frac{\pi}{\Phi}\beta}\mathrm{d}\beta < \varepsilon, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It remains only to prove the convergence to zero of the integral (66) over $[-\bar\beta, \bar\beta]$. We have, $\cosh\beta_1(\rho,\theta) = \dfrac{t-s_0}{\rho_0} \ge \cosh\bar\beta$, for $t \ge s_0 + \rho_0\cosh\bar\beta$, where β_1 is a non negative solution to the equation $\cosh\beta_1 = \dfrac{t-s_0}{\rho_0}$. This implies that $f(t-\rho\cos\beta) = 1$ for $\beta \in [-\bar\beta, \bar\beta]$, $t \ge s_0 + \rho_0\cosh\bar\beta$, $\rho \le \rho_0$. Hence

$$\int\limits_{-\bar\beta}^{\bar\beta} \Big|e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}\big[f(t-\rho\cosh\beta)-1\big] Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\Big|\mathrm{d}\beta = 0 < \varepsilon, \quad t \ge s_0 + \rho_0\cosh\bar\beta,\ \rho \le \rho_0.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Let us continue the proof of Theorem 7.2. Using Lemma 7.3 we infer that (61) follows from (52) and (63).

Let us prove representation (62) for A_∞. Consider

$$\overline{A} \;:=\; \frac{i}{4\Phi}\int_{\mathcal{C}^+} e^{-\omega_0\rho\sinh\beta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{67}$$

We prove that $\overline{A}=A_\infty$, where A_∞ is defined by (59). Let us define the contours $\mathcal{C}_0^+ := \gamma_1^+\cup(\gamma_1^+-2i\pi)$ (where $\gamma_1^+ := \left\{\beta_1-i\frac{\pi}{4}:\beta_1\geq 1\right\}\cup\left\{\beta_1+i\left[\frac{\pi}{4}\beta_1-\frac{\pi}{2}\right]:-1\leq\beta_1\leq 1\right\}\cup\left\{\beta_1-i\frac{3\pi}{4}:\beta_1\leq -1\right\}$) and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^+ \;:=\; &\left\{\beta_1+i\left[\frac{\pi}{4}\beta_1-\frac{\pi}{2}\right]:-1\leq\beta_1\leq 1\right\}\cup\left\{1+i\beta_2:-\frac{9\pi}{4}\leq\beta_2\leq-\frac{\pi}{4}\right\}\\ &\cup\left\{\beta_1+i\left[\frac{\pi}{4}\beta_1-\frac{5\pi}{2}\right]:-1\leq\beta_1\leq 1\right\}\cup\left\{-1+i\beta_2:-\frac{11\pi}{4}\leq\beta_2\leq-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

(See Figure 6). Note that by (60)

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_1^+} e^{-\omega_0\rho\sinh\beta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta \;=\; \int_{\mathcal{C}_0^+} e^{-\omega_0\rho\sinh\beta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta+\int_{\Gamma^+} e^{-\omega_0\rho\sinh\beta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{68}$$

Also using the Cauchy Residues Theorem we obtain $\displaystyle\int_{\Gamma^+} e^{-\omega_0\rho\sinh\beta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta = -2i\pi\sum_{p\in R_1(\theta)}\mathrm{Res}(e^{-\omega_0\rho\sinh\beta}H_N(\beta+i\theta),p)$, where $R_1(\theta)$ is a set of all the poles of function $e^{-\omega_0\rho\sinh\beta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)$ lying inside of Γ^+. Calculating the residues by means of (29), (13) and (12) we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma^+} e^{-\omega_0\rho\sinh\beta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta \;=\; -4i\Phi\begin{cases} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cos(\theta-\alpha)}+e^{i\omega_0\rho\cos(\theta-\theta_1)}, & \theta\in[\phi,\theta_1),\\ e^{i\omega_0\rho\cos(\theta-\alpha)}, & \theta\in[\theta_1,\theta_2],\\ e^{i\omega_0\rho\cos(\theta-\alpha)}+e^{i\omega_0\rho\cos(\theta-\theta_2)}, & \theta\in(\theta_2,2\pi].\end{cases}$$

Hence from (67), (68), (65) we infer that $\overline{A}:=A_{in}+A_r+\overline{A}_d$, with

$$\overline{A}_d := \frac{i}{4\Phi}\int_{\mathcal{C}_0^+} e^{-\omega_0\rho\sinh\beta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)\;\mathrm{d}\beta.$$

Therefore it suffices only to prove that

$$\overline{A}_d = A_d, \tag{69}$$

by (59). Making the change of variable $\beta\mapsto\beta+i\frac{\pi}{2}$ and then the change of variable $\beta\mapsto\beta+2i\pi$ in the integral over $\gamma_1^+-i\frac{3\pi}{2}$ we obtain $\overline{A}_d=-\frac{i}{4\Phi}\displaystyle\int_{\gamma_1^++i\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}Z_N\left(\beta+i\theta\right)\;\mathrm{d}\beta$, where Z_N is defined by (18). Now we are able to transform the contour $\gamma_1^++i\frac{\pi}{2}$ to the contour $\mathbb{R}$ using exponential decrease of Z_N. Namely, by the Cauchy Residue Theorem, (32), the fact that $Z_N(\beta+i\theta)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus P(\theta)$ (see (29)) and (32), we obtain that $\displaystyle\int_{\gamma_1^++i\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}Z_N\left(\beta+i\theta\right)\;\mathrm{d}\beta = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}Z_N\left(\beta+i\theta\right)\;\mathrm{d}\beta$. Hence (69) follows. Theorem 7.2 is proved. □



Figure 6. The contours $\mathcal{C}_0^+$ and Γ^+

8 The rate of convergence to the limiting amplitude

In this section we analyze in more detail the difference between the amplitude of the nonstationary diffracted wave and its limiting amplitude in the case when the profile function f is the Heaviside function (see (56)). Let

$$R_d(\rho,\theta,t) := A_d(\rho,\theta) - A_d(\rho,\theta,t), \qquad \rho \geq 0,\ \theta \neq \theta_{1,2},\ t \geq 0, \tag{70}$$

where $A_d(\rho,\theta)$, $A_d(\rho,\theta,t)$ are defined in (58) and (64), respectively. Since f is the Heaviside function,

$$R_d(\rho,\theta,t) \;=\; \frac{i}{4\Phi} \int\limits_{|\beta|\geq \mathrm{ac}(\frac{t}{\rho})} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta} Z_N(\beta+i\theta)\ \mathrm{d}\beta \tag{71}$$

where Z_N is defined by (18) and $\mathrm{ac}(\cdot)$ is defined in (57). First we need an expansion of $Z_N(\beta+i\theta)$.

Lemma 8.1. *The function Z_N admits the following representation*

$$Z_N(\beta+i\theta) \;=\; ib_1\left[\sum_{k=1}^{6} z_k^{\pm} e^{\mp 2kq\beta} + r_1^{\pm}(\beta) e^{\mp 14q\beta}, \qquad \beta\in\mathbb{R}.\right] \tag{72}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b_1 & := & 4\sin\left(\frac{\pi^2}{\Phi}\right); \\ z_1^{\pm} & = & z_1^{\pm}(\theta,\alpha) := e^{\pm 2iq(\pi-\theta+\alpha)}\left[1+e^{\pm 4iq(\pi-\alpha)}\right], \\ z_2^{\pm} & = & z_2^{\pm}(\theta,\alpha) := e^{\pm 2iq(\pi-2\theta+2\alpha)}\left[1+e^{\pm 8iq(\pi-\alpha)}\right]\left[1+e^{\pm 4iq\pi}\right], \\ z_m & := & z_m^{+}+z_m^{-}\in\mathbb{R},\ m=\overline{1,6}; \qquad |z_m^{\pm}(\theta,\alpha)|\le C,\ \theta\in\mathbb{R},\ m=\overline{2,6}; \\ z_1 & = & z_1(\theta,\alpha)=4\cos\left[\frac{\pi}{\Phi}(2\pi-\theta)\right]\cos\left[\frac{\pi}{\Phi}(\pi-\alpha)\right]; \\ & & |r_1^{\pm}(\beta,\theta,\alpha)|\le C,\ \pm\beta\ge\frac{\ln 2}{q},\ \theta\in\mathbb{R}. \end{array} \tag{73}$$

Proof. From (18) and (11) it follows that $Z_N(\beta)=H_1(\beta)+H_2(\beta)$, $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$, where

$$H_k(\beta)=\frac{\sinh(2iq\pi)}{\sinh\left[q\left(\beta+i(-1)^k\alpha-2i(k-1)\pi\right)\right]\sinh\left[q\left(\beta+i(-1)^k\alpha-2ik\pi\right)\right]},$$

for $k=1,2$. Let $\beta:=\beta_1+i\beta_2$ and $s=s(\beta_1):=e^{-q\beta_1}$. Then, for $k=1,2$, the functions H_k admit the expansions $H_k(\beta)=-ib_1\left[\sum_{j=1}^{6}h_{k,j}^{\pm}s^{\pm 2j}+\ r_1(s^{\pm 1},e^{\pm ic_{k,1}(\beta_2)},e^{\pm ic_{k,2}(\beta_2)})s^{\pm 14}\right]$, where $c_{1,1}(\beta_2):=q(\beta_2-\alpha)$, $c_{1,2}(\beta_2):=q(\beta_2-\alpha-2\pi)$, $c_{2,1}(\beta_2):=q(\beta_2+\alpha-2\pi)$, $c_{2,2}(\beta_2):=q(\beta_2+\alpha-4\pi)$. In both cases the function $s\mapsto r_1(s,a_1,a_2)$ is analytic in $B_1(0):=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ for all $a_1,a_2\in\mathbb{T}:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$ and admits the estimate

$$|r_1(s,a_1,a_2)| \ \le\ C_1, \qquad |s|\le 2^{-1},\ \ a_1,a_2\in\mathbb{T}. \tag{74}$$

Moreover, $h_{1,1}^{\pm}=e^{\mp 2iq(\beta_2-\alpha-\pi)}$, $h_{2,1}^{\pm}=e^{\mp 2iq(\beta_2+\alpha-3\pi)}$ and $h_{k,j}^{+}+h_{k,j}^{-}\in\mathbb{R}$, for $k=1,2$; $j=\overline{1,6}$. These statements are proved similarly to Proposition 2.1 (iii) of [28]. Hence, taking $\beta_2=0$ we infer (72), (73). □

Lemma 8.2. *The function $R_d(\rho,\theta,t)$ admits the following representation*

$$R_d(\rho,\theta,t) \ = \ -\frac{b_1}{4\Phi}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{6}z_m E_{2qm}+R_\infty(\rho,\theta,t)\right], \tag{75}$$

where b_1, z_m are given by (73) for $m=\overline{1,6}$,

$$E_p \ := \ E_p(\rho,t)=\int\limits_{\mathrm{ac}\left(\frac{t}{\rho}\right)}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta-p\beta}\,\mathrm{d}\beta \tag{76}$$

and

$$|R_\infty(t,\rho,\theta)| \ \le C(\rho_0)t^{-14q}\quad , \qquad t\ge\rho_0 2^{\frac{1}{q}},\ \theta\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{77}$$

Proof. It follows from (71), (72) and the evenness of the integrand in (76). The estimate (77) follows from estimates (73), (74). □

The main result of this section is the description of the rate of the convergence to the limiting amplitude. Following [23] we find this rate for the real and imaginary part separately.

Theorem 8.3. *Let R_d be defined by (70). The real and imaginary parts of the function $e^{-i\omega_0 t}R_d$ admit the following asymptotic behavior when $t \to +\infty$*

$$\mathrm{Re}\big[e^{-i\omega_0 t}R_d(\rho,\theta,t)\big] = -\frac{b_1 z_1(2q+1)}{4\Phi\omega_0^2}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{2q} t^{-(2q+2)} + O\left(t^{-(4q+2)}\right), \tag{78}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\big[e^{-i\omega_0 t}R_d(\rho,\theta,t)\big] = -\frac{b_1 z_1}{4\Phi\omega_0}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{2q} t^{-(2q+1)} + O\left(t^{-(4q+1)}\right), \tag{79}$$

where $0 < \rho \le \rho_0$ and the symbol $O(\cdot)$ depends only on ρ_0.

Proof. After the change of variable $u = \dfrac{\rho}{t}\, e^{\beta}$, the function E_p, given by (76), takes the form $E_p(\rho,t) = \left(\dfrac{\rho}{t}\right)^p B_p(\rho,t)$, where $B_p(\rho,t) = \displaystyle\int_{1+\sqrt{1-(\frac{\rho}{t})^2}}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega_0 \frac{u^2t^2+\rho^2}{2ut}}\, u^{-p-1}\, \mathrm{d}u$. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [28] we obtain the following expansions

$$E_p(\rho,t) = \frac{\rho^p}{t^{p+1}}\overline{E}_p(\rho,t) + \frac{2(p+1)}{i\omega_0}\cdot\frac{\rho^p}{t^{p+2}}\overline{E}_{p+1}(\rho,t) + O\left(\frac{1}{t^{p+3}}\right) \tag{80}$$

where $\overline{E}_p(\rho,t) = -\dfrac{2}{i\omega_0}\, e^{i\omega_0 t}\left[\dfrac{t}{t+\sqrt{t^2-\rho^2}}\right]^{p+1}$. Expanding $\overline{E}_p$ as in (101) (Appendix A4) and substituting it into (80) we get $E_p(\rho,t) = -e^{i\omega_0 t}\dfrac{\rho^p}{2^p(i\omega_0)}\cdot\dfrac{1}{t^{p+1}} - e^{i\omega_0 t}\dfrac{\rho^p(p+1)}{2^p(i\omega_0)^2}\cdot\dfrac{1}{t^{p+2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^{p+3}}\right)$. Finally, substituting these expressions into (75) and using (77) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\omega_0 t}R_d(\rho,\theta,t) = {} & -\frac{b_1 z_1}{4\Phi}\left[\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{2q}\frac{2q+1}{\omega_0^2}\, t^{-(2q+2)} - \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{2q}\frac{1}{i\omega_0}\, t^{-(2q+1)}\right] \\ & -\frac{b_1 z_2}{4\Phi}\left[\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{4q}\frac{4q+1}{\omega_0^2}\, t^{-(4q+2)} - \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{4q}\frac{1}{i\omega_0}\, t^{-(4q+1)}\right] \\ & + \sum_{j=3}^{6} m_j t^{-(2qj+2)} + i\sum_{j=3}^{6} n_j t^{-(2qj+1)} \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{6} O(t^{-(2qj+3)}) + r_\infty(\rho,\theta,t)t^{-14q}, \qquad t \to \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

where $m_j, n_j \in \mathbb{R}$ by (73) and $|r_\infty(\rho,\theta,t)| \le C(\rho_0)$. Noting that $q > \frac{1}{4}$ by (12), we infer from this (78) and (79). □

9 The case of half plane

In this section we consider the case of $\Phi = 2\pi$ (see Remark 6.3) and we compare our results with the results of [23].

Proposition 9.1. *Let $\Phi = 2\pi$ and f be the Heaviside function. Then representation (50) for the diffracted wave can be rewritten as*

$$u_d(\rho,\theta,t) = \frac{ie^{-i\omega_0 t}}{2\pi}\int_{-\mathrm{ac}(\frac{t}{\rho})}^{\mathrm{ac}(\frac{t}{\rho})} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}A(\beta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta, \qquad t \ge 0, \tag{82}$$

where

$$A(\beta) := \frac{-\sinh\frac{i\alpha}{2}}{2}\left[\frac{\cosh\frac{\beta+i\theta}{2}}{\sinh\frac{\beta+i\theta+i\alpha}{2}\sinh\frac{\beta+i\theta-i\alpha}{2}} + \frac{\cosh\frac{\beta-i\theta}{2}}{\sinh\frac{\beta-i\theta-i\alpha}{2}\sinh\frac{\beta-i\theta+i\alpha}{2}}\right]. \tag{83}$$

Moreover,

$$u_d(\rho,\theta,t) = \frac{e^{-i\omega_0 t}}{\pi}\int_{-\mathrm{ac}(\frac{\rho}{t})}^{\mathrm{ac}(\frac{\rho}{t})} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}\left[\frac{\cosh\frac{\beta}{2}\cosh\frac{i\theta}{2}}{\cosh\beta+\cosh(i\theta)}\right]\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{84}$$

for $\alpha = \pi$.

Proof. Representation (82) follows from (18), (11) and (50) when $f = \mathcal{H}$ and $\Phi = 2\pi$. Representation (84) follows from (82) when $\alpha = \pi$. □

Remark 9.2. *In the case of half plane ($\Phi = 2\pi$) and the Heaviside function f representation (82) for the diffracted wave coincides with the representation of the diffracted wave Φ_d given by (43) and modified according to Section 3.3 in [23] for $\theta_0 \neq 0$. For $\theta_0 = 0$*

$$u_d(\rho,\theta,t) = 2\Phi_d(\rho,\theta,t) \tag{85}$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$\theta_0 = \alpha - \pi \tag{86}$$

the angle which corresponds to the orientation of the impinging wave in our problem. When $\theta_0 \neq 0$, the diffracted wave obtained in [23] by means of formula (43) and modified according to Section 3.3 (we denote it by Φ_d) is expressed as follows for $c_0 = 1$ (we omit the part which corresponds to the incident wave (see page 210 in [23]))

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_d(\rho,\theta,t) = \frac{e^{-i\omega_0 t}}{2\pi}\Bigg[&-\mathrm{sgn}(\pi-(\theta-\theta_0))\sqrt{\rho(1+\cos(\theta-\theta_0))}\int_\rho^t \frac{e^{i\omega_0 s}}{\sqrt{s-\rho}(s+\rho\cos(\theta-\theta_0))}\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &-\mathrm{sgn}(\pi-(\theta+\theta_0))\sqrt{\rho(1+\cos(\theta+\theta_0))}\int_\rho^t \frac{e^{i\omega_0 s}}{\sqrt{s-\rho}(s+\rho\cos(\theta+\theta_0))}\,\mathrm{d}s\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

Making the change of variable $s := \rho\cosh\beta$, and using the evenness of the integrands obtained after the change of variable we rewrite Φ_d as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_d(\rho,\theta,t) = \frac{e^{-i\omega_0 t}}{2\pi}\int_{-\mathrm{ac}(\frac{t}{\rho})}^{\mathrm{ac}(\frac{t}{\rho})} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}\Bigg[&-\mathrm{sgn}(\pi-(\theta-\theta_0))\frac{\cosh\frac{i(\theta-\theta_0)}{2}\cosh\frac{\beta}{2}}{\cosh\beta+\cosh(i(\theta-\theta_0))}\\ &-\mathrm{sgn}(\pi-(\theta+\theta_0))\frac{\cosh\frac{i(\theta+\theta_0)}{2}\cosh\frac{\beta}{2}}{\cosh\beta+\cosh(i(\theta+\theta_0))}\Bigg]\mathrm{d}\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the case $\theta > \theta_0 + \pi$, $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_0 < \pi$. The other cases are analyzed similarly. From (86) we obtain

$$\Phi_d(\rho,\theta,t) \;=\; \frac{ie^{-i\omega_0 t}}{2\pi}\int\limits_{-\mathrm{ac}(\frac{t}{\rho})}^{\mathrm{ac}(\frac{t}{\rho})} e^{i\omega_0\rho\cosh\beta}B\left(\beta\right)\,\mathrm{d}\beta, \tag{88}$$

where

$$B(\beta) := \left[\frac{\sinh\frac{i(\theta-\alpha)}{2}}{\cosh\beta-\cosh(i(\theta-\alpha))} - \frac{\sinh\frac{i(\theta+\alpha)}{2}}{\cosh\beta-\cosh(i(\theta+\alpha))}\right]\cosh\frac{\beta}{2}. \tag{89}$$

The poles and residues of $A(\beta)$ and $B(\beta)$ coincide and both functions are periodic with period $2i\pi$. Moreover, from (83) and (89), $|A(\beta)| \le C\ e^{\frac{\mathrm{Re}|\beta|}{2}}$ and $|B(\beta)| \le C\ e^{\frac{|\mathrm{Re}\ \beta|}{2}}$, respectively. Hence $A \equiv B$ by the Liouville Theorem. This proves that (82) and (88) are identically equal functions.
When $\theta_0 = 0$, formula (43) in [23] implies that

$$\Phi_d(\rho,\theta,t) \;=\; -\frac{e^{-i\omega_0 t}}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{sgn}(\pi-\theta)\sqrt{\rho(1+\cos\theta)}\int\limits_{\rho}^{t}\frac{e^{i\omega_0 s}}{\sqrt{s-\rho}(s+\rho\cos\theta)}\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{90}$$

Making the change of variable $s = \rho\cosh\beta$, and using the evenness of the integrand in the obtained integral, we infer (85). □

Remark 9.3. *The difference between the cases $\theta_0 > 0$ and $\theta_0 = 0$ is explained in the following way. When $\theta_0 \to 0+$, expression (87) does not converge to expression (90), instead it turns into the doubled value of Φ_d from (90). At the same time expression (82) for u_d in our representation turns into (84) when $\alpha \to \pi$. This relates to the difference in scattering problem formulation for $\theta_0 = 0$.*

Unlike approach in [23], We take into account the "reflected" wave which equals to u_{in}. Thus our diffracted wave u_d compensates for $2u_{in}$ on the line $\theta = \pi$, and the diffracted wave Φ_d compensates for only u_{in}.
This fact leads to the difference in the principal terms of the amplitude asymptotic behavior as $t \to \infty$. In fact, in the case of $\Phi = 2\pi$, $\alpha = \pi$ we have for any $0 < \rho \le \rho_0$, $t \ge \rho_0 2^4$ the following asymptotic behaviours when $t \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}\big[e^{-i\omega_0 t}R_d(\rho,\theta,t)\big] &= -\frac{3\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{2}\ \pi\omega_0^2}\ \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\ t^{-\frac{5}{2}} + O(t^{-\frac{7}{2}}),\\
\mathrm{Im}\big[e^{-i\omega_0 t}\ R_d(\rho,\theta,t)\big] &= -\frac{\sqrt{2\rho}}{\pi\omega_0}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\ t^{-\frac{3}{2}} + O(t^{-\frac{5}{2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

This follows from (81) and (73) since in this case, $z_2 = 0$. On the other hand from (61) of [23] it follows that $\mathrm{Re}\ \Phi_d \sim -\mathrm{sgn}(\pi-\theta)\dfrac{\sqrt{\eta}(3\xi+\eta)}{4\pi\xi^{\frac{3}{2}}(\xi+\eta)^2}\left[1+O\left(\dfrac{1}{\xi^2}\right)\right]$, *when $\xi \to \infty$, where $\xi = \omega_0(t-\rho)$ and $\eta = \omega_0\rho(1+\cos\theta)$. Hence*

$$\mathrm{Re}\ \Phi_d \;=\; -\frac{3\sqrt{\rho}}{2\sqrt{2}\ \pi\omega_0^2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\ t^{-\frac{5}{2}} + O(t^{-\frac{7}{2}}).$$

Similarly, from (62) of [23] we obtain

$$\text{Im } \Phi_d \;\; = \;\; -\frac{\sqrt{2\rho}}{2\pi\omega_0} \;\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\; e^{i\omega_0 t}\; t^{-\frac{3}{2}} + O(t^{-\frac{5}{2}}).$$

10 Conclusion

We have completely solved the problem of plane periodic wave scattering by a NN-wedge. Namely, we obtained an explicit formula for the cylindrical wave diffracted by the edge of the wedge, we proved the Limiting Amplitude Principle and we found the rate of convergence to the limiting amplitude. Moreover, this formula is convenient for studying solution behavior near the wavefront and for creating theory of nonperiodic wave scattering by wedges. We will explore the result in future publications.

11 Appendix

A1

Lemma 11.1. *The function $H_N(\beta)$, defined by (11), has the following properties*

i) $H_N(-\beta + i\pi) = -H_N(\beta)$, *for any* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

ii) $H_N(\beta + 2i\Phi) = H_N(\beta)$, *for any* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. It follows directly from (11). □

Lemma 11.2. *The function $\widehat{u}_s$ given in (20) satisfies "stationary" NN-problem (19).*

Proof. It is easy to check that $f_1(\rho,\theta,\omega) := -\widehat{g}(\omega)e^{i\rho\omega\cos(\theta-\alpha)}$ satisfies system (19). Therefore by (20), to prove (19) for $\widehat{u}_s$ it suffices to prove that

$$f_2(\rho,\theta,\omega) \;\; := \;\; \int\limits_C e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta} H_N(\beta + i\theta)\; \mathrm{d}\beta \tag{91}$$

satisfies for any $\rho > 0$

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta + \omega^2)\; f_2(\rho,\theta,\omega) \;\; = \;\; 0, & \theta \in [\phi, 2\pi] \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f_2(\rho,\theta,\omega) \;\; = \;\; 0, & \theta = 2\pi \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n_2}} f_2(\rho,\theta,\omega) \;\; = \;\; 0, & \theta = \phi \end{cases} \tag{92}$$

The Helmholtz equation in (92) follows by differentiation of the integral (91) after the change of variable $\beta \mapsto \beta' - i\theta$, since $(\Delta+\omega^2)e^{-\rho\omega\sinh(\beta-i\theta)} = 0$. Moreover, the integral in (91) converges absolutely after the differentiation for any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$ by (21), the condition $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^+$ and (11).

Let us prove that f_2 satisfies the second equality of (92). Since $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y_2}\Big|_{\theta=2\pi} = \dfrac{1}{\rho}\cdot\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big|_{\theta=2\pi}$ it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\; f_2(\rho,\theta,\omega)\Big|_{\theta=2\pi} = 0. \tag{93}$$

Since $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\theta} = i\,\dfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}$ and the integral $\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta$ converges uniformly with respect to θ (by (21), $\omega\in\mathbb{C}^+$ and (11)) to prove (93) it suffices to prove that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}H_N(\beta+2i\pi)\,\mathrm{d}\beta = 0. \tag{94}$$

The function $e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}\dfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}H_N(\beta+2i\pi)$ is invariant with respect to $\mathcal{S}(\beta) = -\beta - 3i\pi$, for any $\beta\in\mathcal{C}$. It follows from Lemma 11.1, i) and the fact that $\dfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\,H_N(\beta+2i\pi)$ is invariant with respect to $\mathcal{S}(\beta)$ for any $\beta\in\mathcal{C}$. Hence (94) holds by the symmetry of $\mathcal{C}$ given in (21) with respect to $-i\frac{3\pi}{2}$, see Figure 4.

Let us prove that f_2 satisfies the third equality of (92). Since (91), $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{n_2}}\Big|_{\theta=\phi} = -\dfrac{1}{\rho}\cdot\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big|_{\theta=\phi}$, $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\theta} = i\,\dfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}$, and the fact that the integral $\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}H_N(\beta+i\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta$ converges uniformly with respect to θ (by (21), $\omega\in\mathbb{C}^+$ and (11)), it suffices to prove that $\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}H_N(\beta+i\phi)\,\mathrm{d}\beta = 0$. Integrating by parts and using that for any $\beta\in\mathcal{C}$, $H_N(\beta+i\phi)$ is a bounded function and $e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}\longrightarrow 0$ when $|\mathrm{Re}\,\beta|\to+\infty$ we obtain $\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}H_N(\beta+i\phi)\,\mathrm{d}\beta = \rho\omega\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-\rho\omega\sinh\beta}\cosh\beta\;H_N(\beta+i\phi)\,\mathrm{d}\beta$. The last integral is equal to 0, because of the invariance of the integrand with respect to to $-\beta-3i\pi$, for any $\beta\in\mathcal{C}$ and by the symmetry of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $-i\frac{3\pi}{2}$. □

A2

Proof of Lemma 4.3. **i)** The analytic continuation of $\widehat{g}(\omega_1)$ to $\mathbb{C}^+$ and (37) follow from the Paley-Wiener type Theorem for convex cones (Theorem I.5.2 in [26]) since $\mathrm{supp} f\subset[0,\infty)$ by (2). The estimate (38) follows from (2) since $\omega_0\in\mathbb{R}$.
ii) $f'\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ since $\mathrm{supp}(f')$ is a compact set by (2) and $f\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Hence existence of the analytic continuation of $\widehat{g}_1(\omega_1)$ to $\mathbb{C}$ and (40) follow from the Classic Paley-Wiener Theorem [29]. It is easy to check that $\widehat{g}_1(\omega) = (\omega-\omega_0)\widehat{g}(\omega)$, for any $\omega\in\mathbb{C}^+$ by (34), (35) and the Analytic Continuation Principle. This implies the first identity in (41). Hence, the second identity in (41) follows from (37) and (40). The statement (42) follows from the first identity in (41) and (39). □

A3

Definition 11.3. *For a function $h(s)$, we denote the jump of $h(s)$, at a point $s=s^*\in\mathbb{R}$ as $\mathfrak{J}(h,s^*) := \lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to0+} h(s^*+i\varepsilon) - \lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to0+} h(s^*-i\varepsilon)$, if the limits exist.*

Lemma 11.4. *Let $f\in \mathrm{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ and for $|\varepsilon|\le 1$ let $F(\varepsilon) := \dfrac{1}{2i\pi}\displaystyle\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s)\coth(qs+i\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{d}s$.*

Then there exist the limits $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to0+} F(\varepsilon)$, $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to0-} F(\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{J}(F,0) = -\dfrac{1}{q}\,f(0)$.

Proof. It follows by the Sokhotsky-Plemelj Theorem. □

Lemma 11.5. *Let u_r, u_d be the functions given by (17) and (10), respectively. Then*

$$\mathfrak{J}\left(\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_r}{\partial\theta^k},\theta_l\right)=-\mathfrak{J}\left(\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_d}{\partial\theta^k},\theta_l\right),\qquad k\in\mathbb{N}_0,\ l=1,2. \tag{95}$$

Proof. We consider the case $\theta=\theta_1$. The case $\theta=\theta_2$ is analyzed similarly.
First we find $\mathfrak{J}\left(\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_r}{\partial\theta^k},\theta_1\right)$. From (17) it follows that

$$\mathfrak{J}\left(\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_r}{\partial\theta^k},\theta_1\right) \;=\; -\frac{\partial^{(k)}}{\partial\theta^k}u_{r,1}(\rho,\theta_1,t),\qquad k\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{96}$$

Using polar coordinates $y=(\rho\cos\theta,\rho\sin\theta)$ in $u_{r,1}$ and making the change of variable $\beta=-i(\theta-\theta_1)$ we obtain $u_{r,1}(\rho,\theta_1,t)=e^{-i\omega_0(t-\rho\cos(\theta-\theta_1))}f(t-\rho\cos(\theta-\theta_1))=A(\beta,\rho,t)$, where

$$A(\beta,\rho,t) \;:=\; e^{-i\omega_0(t-\rho\cosh\beta)}f(t-\rho\cosh\beta). \tag{97}$$

Then $\frac{\partial^{(k)}}{\partial\theta^k}u_{r,1}(\rho,\theta,t),=(-i)^k\frac{\partial^{(k)}}{\partial\beta^k}A(\beta,\rho,t)$, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Hence by (96) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{J}\left(\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_r}{\partial\theta^k},\theta_1\right) \;=\; -(-i)^k\frac{\partial^{(k)}}{\partial\beta^k}A(0,\rho,t),\qquad k\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{98}$$

Now we find $\mathfrak{J}\left(\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_d}{\partial\theta^k},\theta_1\right)$. From (18) and (11) it follows that $Z_N(\rho,\theta,t)=\coth\Big[q(\beta+i\theta-i\theta_1)\Big]+\coth\Big[q(\beta+i\theta-i\theta_2)\Big]-\coth\Big[q(\beta+i\theta-2i\pi-i\alpha)\Big]-\coth\Big[q(\beta+i\theta-i\alpha)\Big]$. Since function $\coth\Big[q(\beta+i\theta-i\theta_1)\Big]$ is discontinuous in $\theta=\theta_1$, then $Z_N(\rho,\theta,t)$ is also discontinuous in $\theta=\theta_1$. Hence from (50) we have that

$$\mathfrak{J}\left(\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_d}{\partial\theta^k},\theta_1\right) \;=\; \mathfrak{J}\left(\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_1}{\partial\theta^k},\theta_1\right), \tag{99}$$

where $u_1(\rho,\theta,t)=-\frac{q}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}A(\beta,\rho,t)\coth\Big[q(\beta+i\theta-i\theta_1)\Big]\,\mathrm{d}\beta$ and $A(\beta,\rho)$ is given by (97). Using $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\coth\Big[q(\beta+i\theta-i\theta_1)\Big]=i\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\coth\Big[q(\beta+i\theta-i\theta_1)\Big]$, integrating by parts k times (for $k=0$ we do not integrate $\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_1}{\partial\theta^k}$), and using that by (2) the integration is realized on a compact interval, we obtain $\frac{\partial^{(k)}}{\partial\theta^k}u_1(\rho,\theta,t)=(-1)^{k-1}\frac{i^kq}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{\partial^{(k)}}{\partial\beta^k}A(\beta,\rho,t)\cdot$ $\coth[q(\beta+i\theta-i\theta_1)]\,\mathrm{d}\beta$. Applying Lemma 11.4 with $f(\beta)=(-1)^{k-1}i^kq\frac{\partial^{(k)}}{\partial\beta^k}A(\beta,\rho,t)$ we obtain

$$\mathfrak{J}\left(\frac{\partial^{(k)}u_1}{\partial\theta^k},\theta_1\right) \;=\; (-i)^k\,\frac{\partial^{(k)}}{\partial\theta^k}A(0,\rho,t). \tag{100}$$

Therefore (95) follows from (99), (100) and (98). □

A4

Lemma 11.6. *The function* $\left[\dfrac{t}{t+\sqrt{t^2-\rho^2}}\right]^m$ *admits the following asymptotic behavior*

$$\left[\frac{t}{t+\sqrt{t^2-\rho^2}}\right]^m = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m + m\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-1}\frac{1}{8}\cdot\frac{\rho^2}{t^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{t^4}\right), \qquad t\to\infty. \quad (101)$$

References

[1] Sommerfeld A. *Mathematische Theorie der Diffraction.* Mathematische Annalen 1896; **47**: 317-341.

[2] Babich VM, Lyalinov MA, Gricurov VE. *Sommerfeld-Malyuzhinets method in diffraction problems.* S.Petersburg University: S.Petersburg, 2003.

[3] Bernard J-ML. Diffraction by a metallic wedge covered by a dielectric material. *Wave Motion.* 1987; **9**: 543-561.

[4] Bouche D, Molinet F, Mittra R. *Asymptotic Methods in Electromagnetics.* Springer. 1995.

[5] Clemmov PC. *The Plane Wave Spectrum Representation of Electromagnetic Fields.* Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1966.

[6] Malujinetz GD. *Excitation, reflection and emission of the surface waves on a wedge with given impedances of the sides.* Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR. 1958; **121**(3): 436-439.

[7] Maliuzhinets GD. *Inversion formula for the Sommerfeld integral.* Sov. Phys. Dokl., 3, pp. 52-56, 1958.

[8] Meister E, Penzel F, Speck F-O, Teixeira FS. Some interior and exterior boundary-value problems for the Helmholtz equations in a quadrant. *Operator Theory: Adv. Appl.* 1998; **102**: 169-178.

[9] Meister E, Speck F-O, Teixeira FS. Wiener-Hopf-Hankel operators for some wedge diffraction problems with mixed boundary conditions. *Journal of Integral Equations and Applications.* 1992; **4**(2): 229-255.

[10] Oberhettinger F. Diffraction of waves by a wedge. *Communication on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 1954; **7**(3):551-563.

[11] Sobolev S. L. *On the problem of diffraction of plane waves.* In Differential and Integral Equations of Mathematical Physics, Frank F., Mises R. (eds). ONTI: Leningrad, 1937; 605-616 (in Russian).

[12] Keller J., Blank A. *Difraction and reflection of pulses by wedges and corners.* Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 1951; **4**(1):75-95

[13] Petrashen C. I., Nikolaev V. G., Kouzov D. P. *On the series method in the theory of diffraction waves by polygonal regions.* Nauchnie Zapiski LGU 1958; **246**(5):5-70 (in Russian).

[14] Felsen, L.B. *Diffraction of pulsed field from an arbitrarily oriented electric or magnetic dipole by a perfectly conducting wedge.* Siam J. Appl. Math (1974), **26**, no. 2, pp. 306-312.

[15] Bernard J.M.L *On the time domain scattering by a passive classical frequency dependent wedge-shaped region in a lossy dispersive medium*. Ann. Telecom., Vol. 49, no. 11-12, pp. 673-683, 1994.

[16] Bernard J.M.L, Pelosi, G., Manara G., Freni A. *Time domain scattering by an impedance wedgefor skew incidence*. Proceedings conf. ICEAA / Turin, pp. 11-14, 1991.

[17] Bernard J.M.L *Progress on the diffraction by a wedge: transient solution for line source illumination, single face contribution to scattered field, and new consequence of reciprocity on the spectral function*. Rev. Techn. Thomson-Csf, Vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1209-1220, déc 1993.

[18] Borovikov V. A. *Geometrical Theory of Diffraction*. The Institution of Electrical Engineers: London, 1994.

[19] Rottbrand K. *Exact solution for time-dependent diffraction of plane waves by semi-infinite soft/hard wedges and half-planes*. Preprint, 1984, Technical University Darmstadt, 1998.

[20] Komech AI , Mauser NJ, Merzon AE. On Sommerfeld representation and uniqueness in scattering by wedges. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 2005; **28**:147-183.

[21] Komech AI, Merzon AE. Limiting Amplitude Principle in the Scattering by Wedges. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 2006; **29**:1147-1185.

[22] A. Merzon, J.E de la Paz Mendez, *DN-Scattering of a plane wave by wedges*. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, Vol. 34, No. 15, (2011), 1843-1872 (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mma.1484/abstract).

[23] Hewett D. P., Ockendon J. R. and Allwright D. J. *Switching on a Two-Dimensional Time-Harmonic Scalar Wave in the Presence of a Diffracting Edge*. Wave Motion **48**(3), 197-213 (2011).

[24] Komech A, Merzon A, Zhevandrov P. A method of complex characteristics for elliptic problems in angles and its applications. *American Mathematical Society Translation* 2002; **206**(2):125- 159.

[25] A.I. Komech, A.E.Merzon, *Relation between Cauchy data for the scattering by a wedge*. Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 14, No 3, pp. 279-303, ISSN 1061-9208, Pleiades Publishing,Ltd., 2007

[26] Komech AI. Linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients. In Egorov YuE, Komech AI, Shubin MA. *Elements of the Modern Theory of Partial Differential Equations*. Springer: Berlin, 1999: 127-260

[27] V. M. Babich; Mikhail A. Lyalinov; Valery E. Grikurov. *Diffraction Theory: The Sommerfeld-Malyuzhinet Technique*. Ed. Alpha Science Intl Ltd. 2007.

[28] Choque Rivero A.E., Karlovich Yu. I., Merzon A.E., Zhevandrov P.N. *On the convergence of the amplitude of the diffracted nonstationary wave in scattering by wedges*

[29] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill, Third edition, New York, 1987.